



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

NASTECH PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANY INC
MDRNA, Inc.
3830 MONTE VILLA PARKWAY
BOTHELL WA 98021-7266

RECEIVED

DEC 30 2008

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Steven C. Quay, et. al. :
Application No. 10/805,788 : **ON PETITION**
Filed: March 22, 2004 :
Attorney Docket No. 03-04US :

This is a decision on the petition under the unintentional provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed October 27, 2008, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application became abandoned for failure to timely file a reply within the meaning of 37 CFR 1.113 to the Final Office Action of March 28, 2008. The proposed reply required for consideration of a petition to revive must be a Notice of Appeal (and appeal fee required by 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2), an amendment that *prima facie* places the application in condition for allowance, a Request for Continued Examination and submission (37 CFR 1.114), or the filing of a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.53(b). See MPEP 711.03(c)(III)(A)(2). No extensions of time pursuant to the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Accordingly, the date of abandonment of this application is September 29, 2008.

There is no indication that the person signing the petition was ever given a power of attorney or authorization of agent to prosecute the above-identified patent. However, in accordance with 37CFR 1.34(a), the signature of Peter J. Knudsen appearing on the petition shall constitute a representation to the United States Patent and Trademark Office that he is authorized to represent the particular party in whose behalf he acts.


It is not apparent whether the statement of unintentional delay was signed by a person who would have been in a position of knowing that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. Nevertheless, in accordance with 37 CFR 10.18, the statement is accepted as constituting a certification of unintentional delay. However, in the event that petitioner has no knowledge that the delay was unintentional, petitioner must make such an inquiry to ascertain that, in fact, the delay was unintentional. If petitioner discovers that the delay was intentional, petitioner must so notify the Office.

The file does not indicate a change of address has been submitted, although the address given on the petition differs from the address of record. If appropriate, a change of address should be filed in accordance with MPEP 601.03. A courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to the address given on the petition; however, the Office will mail all future correspondence solely to the address of record.

The petition satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) the reply in the form of an amendment, the Request for Continued Examination (RCE) and fee of \$405; (2) the petition fee of \$810; and (3) a proper statement of unintentional delay.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Denise Williams at (571) 272-8930.

This application is being referred to Technology Center AU 1654 for processing of the RCE and for appropriate action by the Examiner in the normal course of business.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'B. Brown', with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Brian W. Brown
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

cc: Peter J. Knudsen
999 Third Avenue, Ste. 3600
Seattle, WA 98104